



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/676,521	10/01/2003	Vincent LaBonia JR.	PLC 10400	8312		
25306	7590 02/21/2006		EXAM	INER		
	CES OF RAYMOND A	A. NUZZO, LLC	GABLER, PHILIP FRANCIS			
	EN, CT 06512		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		3637			
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Interview Summary	10/676,521	LABONIA ET AL.						
merrion cumuary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Philip Gabler	3637						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):							
(1) <u>Philip Gabler</u> .	(3) <u>Raymond Nuzzo</u> .							
(2) <u>James Hansen</u> .	(4)	:						
Date of Interview: 16 February 2006.								
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]								
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:								
Claim(s) discussed: <u>57</u> .								
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Albright et al. (US Patent Number 4757915)</u> , <u>Bienick (US Patent Number 6422673)</u> , Lye et al. (US Patent Number 6227636).								
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.								
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)								
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.								
*	·	ems O. Hann						
8	JAMES O PRIMARY	. HANSEN EXAMINER						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	ابران Examiner's sign	nature, if required						

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: An interview was initiated by applicant in which a proposed amentment to claim 57, as well as the rejections of claim 57 and the prior art used for them, was discussed. The amendment appears to read over the prior art as presented in the previous office action. The amendments to the claim as proposed (see fax) appear to obivate the rejections based on the Albright and Bienick references with respect to the cited inclined section. However, it was noted that further review and consideration may be needed in evaluating the applied references depending upon the scope of the amended claims when such response is made of record.

2034677233

Lof Je Stranger Oply

LAW OFFICES OF RAYMOND A. NUZZO, LLC

579 Thompson Avenue East Haven, CT 06512 USA Tel: 203-467-7895 Fax: 203-467-7233

FAX COVER SHEET

TO:

Philip Francis Gabler

FROM:

Raymond A. Nuzzo

FAX NUMBER:

571-273-6038

DATE:

February 3, 2006

TOTAL NUMBER PAGES (Including Cover): 5

Documents Attached:

Application No. 10/676521

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Applicant	t Initiated Intervi	ew Request F	'orm				
Application No.: 10/0 Examiner. P. Goab			_ Status of App	ncation	stoffice.Ac			
Tentative Participan (1) Raymond	*Nuzzo	(2) <u>Examin</u>	er Gable	<u>/</u>				
(3)	4.4.	(4)						
Proposed Date of Int	terview:	Proposed Time:		me:	(AM/PM)			
Type of Interview Ro (1) 🔀 Telephonic	equested: (2) Perso	nal (3) [Vide	o Conference					
Exhibit To Be Show If yes, provide brief	n or Demonstra description:	nted: [] YES	ON XI		-			
Issues To Be Discussed								
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed			
(1)102 (6)	<u>57</u>	Albright	[]	[]	[]			
(2) 102(e)	<u>57</u> _	Bienick	[]	[]	[]			
(3) 103	57_	Lye		[]	[]			
(4)		0	[]	[]	[]			
Brief Description of	Arguments to	be Presented: Se	e attact	red po	pers			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>				
	uduated on the	above-identified app	ication on		•			
NOTE: This form she (see MPEP § 713.01).	auld be complete	ed by applicant and sub	nitted to the exam	iner in advance	of the interview			
This application will a	ot be delayed fr	om issue because of app ised to file a statement o	icant's failure to s f the substance of t	ubmit a writter this interview (record of this 37 CFR 1.133(b))			
as soon as possible.	A . A							
Applicant/Applica	H, V Luv	11/0 five Signature	Exar	niner/SPE Sig	nature			
Raymond	Nurzo							
Typed Printed Name	of Applicant of 37199	r Representative						
Registration	Number, if an	olicable						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the UNPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments up the atmost of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

To: Examiner Gabler

From: Raymond Nuzzo

Date: February 3, 2006

This proposed amendment deals only with claim 57 and distinguishing the claimed invention from the prior art.

All other issues will be handled in the formal amendment that will be filed in response to the office action.

Claim 57 has been amended to specifically define the angle of inclination of the inclined section to be between 90° and 180°. Support for this amendment is found in Figure 13 and on page 13, lines 11-16 of the specification. The claimed shelf member is referred to by reference number 26. The claimed inclined section of the front lengthwise end of the shelf member is referred to by reference number 82. The claimed front wall section is referred to by reference number 84. Figure 13 shows one embodiment wherein the inclination angle is 135°.

Language has also been added to the last paragraph of claim 57 to clarify that the inclination angle allows a user to easily scoop parts (e.g. nails, screws, etc.) off of the shelf.

Applicants submit that this amendment distinguishes over the cited prior art. None of the devices in the cited prior art show or suggest a storage bin having this claimed structure.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-56 (cancelled)

Claim 57 (new) A storage bin, comprising:

a pair of opposing side panels, each side panel having a front lengthwise end, a rear lengthwise end, an upper widthwise end and a lower widthwise end;

a top panel attached to the upper widthwise ends of the opposing side panels;

a bottom panel attached to the lower widthwise ends of the opposing side panels;

a back panel attached to the rear lengthwise ends of the opposing side panels so as to define a housing having an interior, and

at least one shelf member positioned within the interior of the housing and attached to the opposing side panels, the at least one shelf member comprising a shelf panel that has a predetermined length, a generally planar top side for storing parts thereon, a bottom side, a front lengthwise end section that is proximate to the front of the storage bin and which extends for the entire predetermined length of the shelf panel, and a rear lengthwise end section that is adjacent to the back panel, the front lengthwise end section comprising an inclined section that has a length that is substantially equal to the predetermined length of the shelf panel, the inclined section being angulated with respect to

inclination angle is [such that the angle] measured between the inclined section and the generally planar top side [is greater than] and is between 90° and 180°, the front lengthwise end section further comprising a front wall section that is attached to and extends for substantially the entire length of the inclined section, the front wall section being angulated with respect to the inclined section in accordance with a [second] predetermined degree of angulation such that the front wall section is positioned across from the inclined section and substantially parallel to the back panel; and

whereby the <u>inclination angle of the</u> inclined section allows a user to easily scoop parts stored on the top side of the shelf panel so as to remove those parts from the storage bin.